

For Office Use only:

Date

Ref

Core Strategy Development Plan Document

Regulation 20 of the Town & Country (Local Development) (England) Regulations 2012.

Publication Draft - Representation Form

PART A: PERSONAL DETAILS

* If an agent is appointed, please complete only the Title, Name and Organisation in box 1 below but complete the full contact details of the agent in box 2.

	1. YOUR DETAILS*	2. AGENT DETAILS (if applicable)
Title	MRS	
First Name		
Last Name	TAYLOR	
Job Title (where relevant)	N/A	
Organisation (where relevant)	N/A	
Address Line 1	[REDACTED]	
Line 2	ILKLEY	
Line 3		
Line 4		
Post Code	LS29 [REDACTED]	
Telephone Number		
Email Address		
Signature:	[REDACTED]	Date: 29 03 14

Personal Details & Data Protection Act 1998

Regulation 22 of the Town & Country Planning (Local Development) (England) Regulations 2012 requires all representations received to be submitted to the Secretary of State. By completing this form you are giving your consent to the processing of personal data by the City of Bradford Metropolitan District Council and that any information received by the Council, including personal data may be put into the public domain, including on the Council's website. From the details above for you and your agent (if applicable) the Council will only publish your title, last name, organisation (if relevant) and town name or post code district. Please note that the Council cannot accept any anonymous comments.

For Office Use only:

Date

Ref

PART B – YOUR REPRESENTATION - Please use a separate sheet for each representation.

3. To which part of the Plan does this representation relate?

Section	5.3	Paragraph	64	Policy	H03
---------	-----	-----------	----	--------	-----

4. Do you consider the Plan is:

4 (1). Legally compliant	Yes		No	
4 (2). Sound	Yes		No	X
4 (3). Complies with the Duty to co-operate	Yes		No	

5. Please give details of why you consider the Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please refer to the guidance note and be as precise as possible.

If you wish to support the legal compliance, soundness of the Plan or its compliance with the duty to co-operate, please also use this box to set out your comments.

I DISPUTE THE FIGURE OF 800 HOMES FOR ILKLEY.
 I DO NOT BELIEVE THIS FIGURE HAS BEEN CORRECTLY ASSESSED BASED ON FACTORY PARTICULAR TO ILKLEY: IT IS NOT A PRINCIPAL TOWN, NOR ARE THERE THE JOBS IN THE TOWN. LOCAL SERVICES HAVE ALREADY BEEN REDUCED, NOTABLY POLICE STATION & TOWN HALL OPENING/ACCESS TIMES.

ILKLEY IS TOPOGRAPHICALLY DISTINCT, AREAS FOR DEVELOPMENT BEING RESTRICTED BY NATURAL FEATURES AND GREEN BELT AREAS. THE LOCAL HABITAT REQUIRES PROTECTION FOR THE BENEFIT OF EXISTING RESIDENTS AND INCOME FROM TOURISM.

THE PROPOSAL FOR 800 HOMES IS AT ODDS WITH THE GOVERNMENT'S STATED DESIRE TO PROTECT GREENBELT.

6. Please set out what modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified at question 5 above where this relates to the soundness. (N.B Please note that any non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination).

You will need to say why this modification will make the Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

THE PROPOSED NUMBER OF NEW HOUSES SHOULD BE SUBSTANTIALLY REDUCED WITH THE HOUSING LOCATED ON BROWN FIELD SITES WITHIN THE DISTRICT NEARER TO PLACES OF EMPLOYMENT & SUSTAINABLE, SUSTAINABLE INFRASTRUCTURE, PARTICULARLY WITH REGARD TO THE EMERGENCY SERVICES.

Please note your representation should cover succinctly all the information, evidence and supporting information necessary to support/justify the representation and the suggested change, as there will not normally be a subsequent opportunity to make further representations based on the original representation at publication stage. Please be as precise as possible.

After this stage, further submissions will be only at the request of the Inspector, based on the matters and issues he/she identifies for examination.

7. If your representation is seeking a modification to the Plan, do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral part of the examination?

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No, I do not wish to participate at the oral examination
<input type="checkbox"/>	Yes, I wish to participate at the oral examination

8. If you wish to participate at the oral part of the examination, please outline why you consider this to be necessary:

Please note the Inspector will determine the most appropriate procedure to adopt when considering to hear those who have indicated that they wish to participate at the oral part of the examination.

9. Signature:

Date:

29 03 14

For Office Use only:

Date

Ref

PART B – YOUR REPRESENTATION - Please use a separate sheet for each representation.

3. To which part of the Plan does this representation relate?

Section	3.2	Paragraph	62	Policy	H03
---------	-----	-----------	----	--------	-----

4. Do you consider the Plan is:

4 (1). Legally compliant	Yes		No	
4 (2). Sound	Yes		No	X
4 (3). Complies with the Duty to co-operate	Yes		No	

5. Please give details of why you consider the Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please refer to the guidance note and be as precise as possible.

If you wish to support the legal compliance, soundness of the Plan or its compliance with the duty to co-operate, please also use this box to set out your comments.

THERE ARE SIGNIFICANT ENVIRONMENTAL CONCERNS WHICH I HAVE OVER THE SCALE OF THIS PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT.

WILKIN IS RIGHT ON THE EDGE OF THE DISTRICT AND IS A GATEWAY TO THE DALES. ITS OUTSTANDING BEAUTY AND NATURAL LANDSCAPE WILL BE SEVERELY COMPROMISED BY MORE EVIDENCE OF HUMAN INTERVENTION. I BELIEVE THIS DEVELOPMENT WILL IMPACT SEVERELY ON WILKIN'S ATTRACTIVENESS AS A TOURIST DESTINATION AND CONSEQUENTLY ON REVENUES THAT ARE BROUGHT INTO THE DISTRICT. THEREFORE THIS PROPOSAL WILL, IN THE LONG TERM, RESULT IN A NEGATIVE ECONOMIC IMPACT.

6. Please set out what modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified at question 5 above where this relates to the soundness. (N.B Please note that any non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination).

You will need to say why this modification will make the Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

RECOGNISE THAT ILLKLEY IS VERY DIFFERENT TO THE REST OF THE DISTRICT AND SHOULD BE ACKNOWLEDGED AS SUCH.

Please note your representation should cover succinctly all the information, evidence and supporting information necessary to support/justify the representation and the suggested change, as there will not normally be a subsequent opportunity to make further representations based on the original representation at publication stage. Please be as precise as possible.

After this stage, further submissions will be only at the request of the Inspector, based on the matters and issues he/she identifies for examination.

7. If your representation is seeking a modification to the Plan, do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral part of the examination?

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/>


No, I do not wish to participate at the oral examination

Yes, I wish to participate at the oral examination

8. If you wish to participate at the oral part of the examination, please outline why you consider this to be necessary:

Please note the Inspector will determine the most appropriate procedure to adopt when considering to hear those who have indicated that they wish to participate at the oral part of the examination.

9. Signature:



Date:

29 03 14

For Office Use only:

Date

Ref

PART B – YOUR REPRESENTATION - Please use a separate sheet for each representation.

3. To which part of the Plan does this representation relate?

Section	3	Paragraph	15.3	Policy	
---------	---	-----------	------	--------	--

4. Do you consider the Plan is:

4 (1). Legally compliant	Yes		No	
4 (2). Sound	Yes		No	X
4 (3). Complies with the Duty to co-operate	Yes		No	

5. Please give details of why you consider the Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please refer to the guidance note and be as precise as possible.

If you wish to support the legal compliance, soundness of the Plan or its compliance with the duty to co-operate, please also use this box to set out your comments.

THIS PROPOSAL IS NOT SUSTAINABLE. INCREASED VOLUMES OF TRAFFIC HAVE NO CHOICE BUT TO SPILL ONTO THE ALREADY CONGESTED A65 AND SINCE ILKLEY IS NOT A CENTRE OF EMPLOYMENT MOST PEOPLE WILL ALSO HAVE TO COMMUTE TO WORK. THIS WILL MAKE PEOPLE'S TRAVEL TO WORK TIMES AND WORK/LIFE BALANCE INTOLERABLE. EVEN THE SIMPLEST OF JOURNEYS WILL BE IMPOSSIBLE TO MAKE IN A TIMELY FASHION. COMMUTER TRAINS ARE ALREADY CROWDED AND PARKING TO GET ON A TRAIN IS A NIGHTMARE. PARKING TO SHOP IS ALSO INCREASINGLY DIFFICULT. SCHOOLS ARE OVER-SUBSCRIBED, GETTING APPOINTMENTS FOR DENTISTS & AS THE DOCTORS IS ALREADY SUFFERING.

THERE IS SIMPLY NO SPARE LAND OR GEOGRAPHICAL ACCESS TO CREATE THIS INFRASTRUCTURE AND IF YOU TRIED TO SEE MY COMMENTS TO 5.2 / 62 / HO3

6. Please set out what modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified at question 5 above where this relates to the soundness. (N.B Please note that any non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination).

You will need to say why this modification will make the Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

MOVE THE HOUSING PROPOSAL AWAY FROM THE WHARFE VALLEY (A65) TO AN AREA WITHIN THE DISTRICT WHERE THERE IS SPACE TO CREATE THE NECESSARY INFRASTRUCTURE THAT WILL MEAN THE PROPOSALS ENHANCE PEOPLES WELL-BEING, NOT DETRACT FROM IT.

Please note your representation should cover succinctly all the information, evidence and supporting information necessary to support/justify the representation and the suggested change, as there will not normally be a subsequent opportunity to make further representations based on the original representation at publication stage. Please be as precise as possible.

After this stage, further submissions will be only at the request of the Inspector, based on the matters and issues he/she identifies for examination.

7. If your representation is seeking a modification to the Plan, do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral part of the examination?

X

No, I do not wish to participate at the oral examination

Yes, I wish to participate at the oral examination

8. If you wish to participate at the oral part of the examination, please outline why you consider this to be necessary:

Please note the Inspector will determine the most appropriate procedure to adopt when considering to hear those who have indicated that they wish to participate at the oral part of the examination.

9. Signature:

[Redacted Signature]

Date:

29 03 14

Core Strategy Development Plan Document (DPD) : Publication Draft

PART C: EQUALITY AND DIVERSITY MONITORING FORM

Bradford Council would like to find out the views of groups in the local community. Please help us to do this by filling in the form below. It will be separated from your representation above and will not be used for any purpose other than monitoring.

Please place an 'X' in the appropriate boxes.

